U.S. Pat App. No. 10/783,761 Amendment A in response to Office Action mailed 01/22/2007 Page 2 Atty Dkt No. 200313044-1/HPCQ-P128

Amendments to the Claims

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions of the claims in the application.

Listing of Claims

1. (Currently Amended) A method for cross-module in-lining, comprising: in a first phase of a compiling process, the compiling process comprising a front-end phase, an inter-procedural analysis phase, and a back-end phase, the inter-procedural phase being the first phase,

deciding to in-line a first function in a first module into a second function in a second module;
providing the location of the first function;
providing instructions for in-lining to be performed in a second phase of the compiling process;

in the second phase of the compiling process, the back-end phase being the second phase,

following the instructions to in-line code of the first function into the second function.

- 2. (canceled).
- 3. (original) The method of claim 1, in the first phase of the compiling process, further having a third function in the module containing the second function.
- 4. (original) The method of claim 3, in the second phase of the compiling process, further getting rid of the third function in the module containing the second function after using that third function to in-line its code into the second function.

U.S. Pat App. No. 10/783,761 Amendment A in response to Office Action mailed 01/22/2007 Page 3 Atty Dkt No. 200313044-1/HPCQ-P128

- 5. (original) The method of claim 4 wherein the third function being selected from a group consisting of the first function and a clone of the first function.
- 6. (original) The method of claim 1, wherein, in the second phase of the compiling process, in-lining the code of the first function into the second function uses a clone of the first function.
- 7. (original) The method of claim 1, wherein, in the second phase of the compiling process, the code used to be in-lined into the second function is stored in a file.
- 8. (original) The method of claim 1 wherein, in the second phase of the compiling process, the code used to be in-lined into the second function is stored in a library.
- 9. (original) The method of claim 1 wherein the instructions include at least a list of callees to be in-lined and corresponding callers.
- 10. (currently amended) A method for compiling a first set of modules having programming source code, comprising:

in a first phase that represents a front-end phase,

from the first set of modules, providing a second set of modules having first intermediate representations;

in a second phase that represents an inter-procedural phase,

performing in-line analysis on the second set of modules;
providing instructions for in-lining to be performed in a third phase
of the compiling process; and
providing a third set of modules having second intermediate

U.S. Pat App. No. 10/783,761 Amendment A in response to Office Action mailed 01/22/2007 Page 4 Atty Dkt No. 200313044-1/HPCQ-P128

representations optimized from the first intermediate representations;

in the third phase of the compiling process, the third phase representing a backend phase,

following the instructions to perform in-lining, and providing a fourth set of modules having third intermediate representations optimized from the second intermediate representations.

- 11. (original) The method of claim 10, in the second phase, further using code in the module containing a function caller of a function callee to transform in-lining.
- 12. (original) The method of claim wherein the code being selected from a body of the function callee.
- 13. (original) The method of claim wherein the code being selected from a clone of the function callee.
- 14. (original) The method of claim 0 wherein the instructions include at least one of

a set of function caller including at least one function caller;
a set of function callee including at least one function callee;
the order for transformation of in-lining;
the location of at least one function callee; and
decisions whether to keep a body of at least one function callee
after inlining transformation.

15. (original) A computer-readable medium embodying a compiler, the compiler comprising:

U.S. Pat App. No. 10/783,761 Amendment A in response to Office Action mailed 01/22/2007 Page 5 Atty Dkt No. 200313044-1/HPCQ-P128

- a front-end phase;
- a cross-module analysis phase; and
- a back-end phase;

wherein

the front-end phase invokes the cross-module analysis phase; the cross-module analysis phase

determines whether a callee is to be in-lined into a caller in the back-end phase;

provides instructions for the back-end phase to transform inlining code of the callee; and invokes the back-end phase; and

the back-end phase

transforms the in-lining code based on the instructions.

- 16. (original) The computer-readable medium of claim wherein the back-end phase further performs tasks related to in-lining.
- 17. (original) The computer-readable medium of claim wherein the tasks related to in-lining include at least deleting the callee in a module containing the caller.
- 18. (original) The computer readable medium of claim wherein transforming the in-lining code uses code of a clone of the callee.
- 19. (original) The computer-readable medium of claim wherein a call to the callee is in a module that does not include the callee.
- 20. (original) The computer-readable medium of claim wherein the instructions include at least a list of callees.